Overview of Marfan Syndrome: Knowns and Unknowns
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Abstract

Marfan syndrome (MFS) is a relatively rare disease of the connective tissue that affects several organs of the body. Cardiovascular abnormalities such as aortic root dilatation and mitral valve prolapse are the two main life-threatening complications associated with MFS. The complete pathogenesis of MFS is yet unclear. However, fibrillin-1 (FBN1) gene mutations and mutations in the transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) signaling pathway are the leading causes of this lethal disease. Detailed assessment based on several major and minor clinical manifestations has led to the evolution of different nosologies for MFS diagnoses with reliable accuracies. Nevertheless, heterogeneous disease advancement and overlapping clinical outcomes make MFS diagnosis challenging. Rapid strides in research and surgical avenues over the last two decades have improved the life expectancy and the quality of life of MFS patients remarkably. More specific diagnostic criteria have been established, novel therapeutic targets for pharmacotherapy have been identified and validated, and newer surgical techniques have been tested. Current research efforts are focusing on the identification of prognostic biomarkers, gene modifiers, drug targets, and surgical procedures. This review aims to provide a brief overview of these aspects associated with MFS.
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Introduction

Marfan syndrome (MFS) is an inherited genetic disorder, primarily affecting the connective tissue that provides strength, support, and elasticity to vital parts of the body (1). The connective tissue is made up of various proteins, including fibrillin-1 (FBN1) (1). FBN1 serves as the main structural component of microfibrils in the extracellular matrix (ECM). These microfibrils incorporate elastin into elastic fibers, thus forming the structural framework. MFS is caused by mutations in
the $FBN1$ gene, which causes misfolding/alteration of $FBN1$ protein (2, 3).

MFS has a low incidence rate, and it occurs with similar frequency across all countries, races, and genders (3). It is a long-lasting and life-threatening disease affecting multiple vital organs simultaneously, including eyes, the skeletal system, the cardiovascular system, the pulmonary system, the central nervous system, and the integumentary system (4). Currently, a curative therapy for MFS is not available. Patients with MFS often complain of chronic fatigue, pain, and psychological despair (5). MFS patients often require long-term care assistance and have a poor quality of life. The molecular understanding of MFS has advanced greatly in the past 10 years due to the availability of genetically modified rodent models and novel molecular research techniques. Data from MFS patients and animal models show that there is more than one genetic loci linked with MFS, which questions the hypothesis of MFS being a classical dominant negative disorder (6, 7). Primarily, the cardiovascular complications have been attributed to the $FBN1$ mutations.

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that deregulated TGFβ signaling correlates with the loss of functional microfibrils and may be the chief contributor to the musculoskeletal complications associated with MFS (8). These discoveries regarding MFS pave the way for developing novel pharmacotherapies, which is the standard MFS care prior to surgical intervention. This review will provide a brief overview of the historical advances of MFS, significant clinical manifestations, genetic aspects, current diagnostic criteria, and existing treatment strategies.

**Historical outlook: advances in MFS**

In 1896, MFS was discovered and named after a French pediatrician, Antoine-Bernard Marfan who found several skeletal disorders in a 5-year-old girl (9). Few decades later, in 1955, McKusick (10) classified MFS as a heritable disorder of the connective tissue, with autosomal dominant pattern. MFS-associated aneurysm and aorta dissection were the main causes of mortality (11). Surgical treatment of MFS patients with aneurysm was considered the only effective treatment option. In 1971, Halpern et al. (12) were the first to find in a small group of patients that $\beta$-adrenergic blocker slowed the dilatation of the ascending aorta. This preliminary report later led to a landmark study by Shores et al. (13), with 93 patients’ participation that demonstrated the therapeutic benefit of $\beta$-blockers. The effectiveness of $\beta$-adrenergic blockers in the medical management of cardiovascular complications makes them the chief drug category for MFS treatment to date (13).

In 2001, another breakthrough in pharmacotherapy targeting MFS was made by Nagashima et al. (14), wherein they found a novel regulatory role of angiotensin II type 2 receptor in mediating vascular smooth muscle cell apoptosis in cystic medial degeneration, a complication of MFS. This study led to the evolution of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE inhibitor) for the treatment of MFS. ACE inhibitors reduce angiotensin II level and its signaling pathway. A randomized trial from 2003 to 2006 with 18 MFS patients enrolled showed that ACE inhibitors effectively reduce aortic root size (15). ACE inhibitors have been used in patients who have unacceptable adverse events or no response to $\beta$-blockers. Advancements in the diagnostic criteria of MFS were also occurring simultaneously with the therapeutic progress. Accordingly in 1986, with the ultimate aim to identify MFS patients, the Berlin nosology was introduced, and later in 1996 and 2010, the Ghent-1 and Ghent-2 nosologies, respectively, were introduced with improved diagnostic criteria for MFS (1, 9, 16).

The advances in MFS therapeutic strategies were in line with the discoveries related to the pathophysiology of MFS. In 1991, Dietz and Pyeritz (17) identified and established the genetic mutation link between $FBN1$ gene and MFS. In 2003, Neptune et al. (18), in a FBN1-deficient animal model, demonstrated that TGFβ activation and signaling were also deregulated. Increased TGFβ signaling is associated with the increased expression of
several metalloproteinases (MMPs) and excessive proteolysis of ECM. Subsequently, it was discovered that patients with mutation in the TGFβ receptor type II gene (TGFBR2) showed classic MFS-related phenotypes, which is currently classified as type 2 MFS (MFS2), without significant ocular manifestation (19). This study also mapped TGFBR2 to the MFS2 locus. In 2005, mutations in transforming growth factor-β receptor type I gene (TGFBR1) were found in an MFS-related disorder called Loeys-Dietz aortic aneurysm syndrome (20). These findings bring new insight to the genetic disorders associated with MFS and provide novel therapeutic targets. To date, it appears that all potential genetic loci have not been identified, and several MFS-associated dysfunctions are still unclear with regard to mechanistic aspects. **Figure 1** provides a brief outline of the major scientific discoveries associated with MFS.

**Clinical manifestations**

MFS affects both the genders equally and has a broad geographical distribution. The syndrome is considered rare, with a detection range of 1.5-17.2 per 100,000 individuals in different populations (16, 21). MFS is often characterized by a plethora of clinical manifestations that classically involves the ocular, cardiovascular, and muscular and skeletal systems. These clinical symptoms, which are mentioned below, become more evident with the increasing age.

**Ocular system**

Myopia (nearsightedness) and ectopia lentis (malposition of eye lens) are the most common symptoms of MFS that affect the ocular system (40 and 60% of the patients) (1, 9). Other possible symptoms include retinal detachment, glaucoma, and early cataract development. Retinal detachment is considered the most severe ocular complication, often affecting both eyes. However, novel techniques in the clinics have facilitated early diagnosis of the ocular complications, leading to an improved care of MFS patients (22, 23).

**Musculoskeletal system**

Individuals having MFS also exhibit most striking symptoms involving the skeletal and connective tissue systems. These mainly include ligamentous laxity (loose joints), dolichostenomelia (abnormal lengthening of the limbs), pectus excavatum (sunken chest) or pectus carinatum (protruded chest), and scoliosis (deformed spine) (24). Some of the other significant
clinical MFS symptoms found include craniofacial deformities, dural ectasia (erosion of bony tissue), protrusio acetabuli (displacement of the acetabulum and femoral head), hindfoot valgus with forefoot abduction (misalignment of the hindfoot), pes planus (flat foot), and osteopenia (reduced bone mineral density) (25-27). Skeletal malformations, which severely affect the fitness of MFS patients, are often accompanied with extreme pain.

Cardiovascular system

Typically, abnormalities of the cardiovascular system represent the lethal manifestations of MFS. Some of the important and common presentations include aortic root dilatation and mitral valve prolapse (28, 29). Aortic root dilatation was observed in 60% of a series of patients with MFS (74% males, 33% females) while mitral valve prolapse was predominant in 91% (87% males, 100% females) of patients (29). Interestingly, a study detected aortic root dilatation and mitral valve prolapse in all the 13 cases of MFS (30). Children often manifest other heart defects, such as coarctation (narrowing) of the aorta, atrial septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus (persistent opening between two major blood vessels), and pulmonary artery stenosis (narrowing) (31). The aorta and the aortic root are prone to develop dilatation, aneurysm, and dissection. Moreover, the mitral valve tends to develop annular dilatation, fibromyxomatous alterations to the leaflets and chordae, lengthening and rupturing of chordae, and accumulation of calcium (32, 33).

Other systems

Clinical reports have also demonstrated abnormalities in the respiratory and central nervous systems and in the skin (4, 34-37). In the central nervous system, the MFS patients exhibit lumbosacral dural ectasia as a common manifestation (4). Particularly, spontaneous pneumothorax (air or gas in between the lungs and the chest wall), apical blebs, and bullous emphysema (overinflation of the air sacs) are respiratory system abnormalities associated with MFS (38, 39). The rupturing of the apical blebs leads to the frequent spontaneous pneumothorax in MFS patients (39). MFS patients also often exhibit typical stretch marks (striae), skeletal muscle hypoplasia, and adipose tissue deficiency.

Diagnostic criteria

MFS is a pleiotropic disease with a wide range of manifestations in different organ systems. Owing to the multiorgan nature of the disease, the diagnosis of MFS becomes very challenging. Several nosologies have been postulated to standardize the diagnostic criteria and thus clinically define the disease for early diagnosis. With the ultimate aim to identify MFS patients, the Berlin nosology was introduced as the first concerted effort to address the disparity in diagnoses (16). The Berlin nosology relied wholly on the clinical features that did not allow successful delineation of MFS from other syndromes that affected the ocular and skeletal systems. Although the Berlin nosology had limited benefit for diagnosis, several shortcomings were identified. Of greatest concern were the misdiagnoses of patients by relying solely on this nosology (40). Many more weaknesses surfaced over the years, and with the advancement of molecular research, a revised Ghent nosology was introduced in 1996 (Ghent-1). Notably, Ghent-1 was the outcome of the discovery of FBN1 gene mutations as the etiology of MFS (1, 9).

More recently, in an attempt to reduce the number of false-positive diagnoses using Ghent-1 nosology, a revised version was introduced in 2010 (Ghent-2) (41). Readers are directed to the article by von Kodolitsch et al. (16) for a detailed comparison of diagnostic criteria for MFS according to the Berlin and Ghent-1/2 nosologies. The urgent need for a more reliable and uniform diagnostic criteria was the primary reason behind the formulation of the Ghent-2 nosology. It primarily encompasses the following revisions: identification of patients at risk for aortic aneurysm or dissection and ectopia lentis; ease of use of diagnostic criteria; availability of early diagnosis through genetic testing at reasonable costs; enhanced characterization of familial ectopia lentis, the MASS (mitral valve prolapse, aortic enlargement, skin and skeletal findings) syndrome, and mitral valve prolapse syndrome (MVPS);
Table 1. Summary of diagnostic yield in suspected MFS patients according to Ghent-1 versus Ghent-2 nosology among different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Diagnosis of MFS by Ghent-1</th>
<th>Diagnosis of MFS by Ghent-2</th>
<th>Indefinite diagnosis of MFS by Ghent-1</th>
<th>Indefinite diagnosis of MFS by Ghent-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aalberts et al. (46)</td>
<td>44/343 (13%)</td>
<td>47/343 (14%)</td>
<td>203/343 (59%)</td>
<td>178/343 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheikhzadeh et al. (47)</td>
<td>126/300 (42%)</td>
<td>128/300 (43%)</td>
<td>84/300 (28%)</td>
<td>92/300 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. (45)</td>
<td>86/106 (81%)</td>
<td>84/106 (79%)</td>
<td>14/106 (14%)</td>
<td>14/106 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All studies combined</td>
<td>256/749 (34%)</td>
<td>259/749 (35%)</td>
<td>301/749 (40%)</td>
<td>284/749 (38%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

complete removal of some clinical criteria atypical of majority of MFS patients; and, finally, delineation of MFS from alternative diagnoses of other syndromes, such as Loews-Dietz syndrome and Shprintzen-Goldberg syndrome (16, 41-43). Aortic dilatation, one of the most important diagnostic criteria, is defined by a z-score because z-score refers exclusively to the aortic root (44). Broadly, the occurrence of ectopia lentis together with an FBN1 mutation along with aortic dilatation is sufficient to make the MFS diagnosis.

Evaluation using the Ghent-2 nosology diminishes the inaccuracies in the diagnoses of MFS when compared with other nosologies (45, 46). However, the method to predict z-score can be challenging with both pediatric and adult patients, and it warrants more review. Also, taking into consideration the additional complex criteria such as age and gender may exacerbate this issue. In summary, the quality of diagnostic criteria of a specific nosology comprises objectivity, reliability, and validity, which have not been thoroughly assessed in both Ghent-1 and Ghent-2 that may have led to their incapability to diagnose MFS with 100% accuracy (Table 1). Most notably, a nosology-based diagnosis of MFS lacks a diagnostic reference standard, and hence, its sensitivity, specificity, or accuracy cannot be measured. Nosologies may evolve in future to overcome these diagnostic limitations and provide a more conclusive diagnosis of MFS.

Molecular genetics: origins of MFS

Fibrillin-1

The biological mechanisms driving the initiation and progression of MFS are yet to be entirely elucidated. MFS is an inherent autosomal dominant disorder in which FBN1 mutations exert a dominant negative impact (32). Fibrillin-1 is an integral constituent of the microfibrils of the ECM in the connective tissues (48). It is a large glycoprotein of about 350 kDa, mainly comprising 6-cysteine epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like and calcium-binding EGF motifs, intermixed with a few 8-cysteine motifs (TGF-beta binding/8-Cys) (Figure 2). This arrangement of the motifs is exclusive to fibrillins and latent TGFβ-binding proteins (48, 49). The FBN1 gene comprises 65 coding exons and resides on chromosome 15q21.1 (Figure 2). Missense mutations, alternative splicing, multiexon out-of-frame deletions, and deletions in this gene result in defective protein folding, altered secretion/assembly, and upregulated degradation of the nonfunctional protein, leading to weakened connective tissues (26, 50, 51). Alternatively, whole gene deletions have also been reported, leading to reduced amounts of protein. Irrespective of the type of mutation and the subsequent altered or deleted functional protein, it has been observed that the overall levels of the immunoreactive fibrillin-1 protein are strikingly low in the affected tissues in MFS patients (52). This strongly indicates that the loss of intact fibrillin-1 protein contributing to functional microfibrils in the
Figure 2. Schematic illustration of fibrillin-1 gene, its location on chromosome 15, and fibrillin-1 protein. A. *FBN1* gene is located on chromosome 15, specifically in the 15q21.1 region. B. *FBN1* gene comprises 65 exons and is 237.5 kb in length. C. *FBN1* translates into a large glycoprotein with multiple functional domains called fibrillin-1 (2871 amino acids, 350 kDa). Glycosylation is a common post-translational modification in this protein that is critically involved in ECM stability.

ECM corresponds to the MFS clinical phenotype (53). According to the latest update from the UMD-FBN1 mutation database, more than thousand (1847) different mutations have been identified in association with MFS, but not all have the reliability to predict the exact clinical phenotype (54) [http://www.umd.be/FBN1/](http://www.umd.be/FBN1/). Solitary exception is the mutations within the middle third (exon 24-32) of *FBN1* gene observed in children and adults with severe MFS (30, 55). Interestingly, only 28-66% of MFS patients have been diagnosed with *FBN1* mutations (33, 54, 56). These mutations are not only limited to MFS but also occur in familial aortic aneurysms, MVPS (57, 58), and a wide range of skeletal (e.g., scoliosis) (59), dermal (e.g., stiff skin) (60), and connective tissue abnormalities (fibrillinopathies) (61).

Apart from MFS, there are rare instances in which *FBN1* mutations can also drive other clinically distinctive syndromes. Some of the syndromes include Weill-Marchesani syndrome, stiff skin syndrome, acromelic dysplasia, and Shprintzen-Goldberg syndrome (42, 62, 63). This hampers the establishment of a concrete and prognostic genotype-phenotype correlation among patients with different *FBN1* mutations (50). The commonalities and distinct clinical features between MFS and these syndromes are beyond the scope of this review. However, readers are directed to the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) compendium for further information [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim).

TGFβ signaling genes

In the early 1990s, a second genetic locus (3p24.2-p25) was identified as a putative cause of MFS (64). In patients with overlapping clinical features of Loeys-Dietz syndrome and MFS, mutations were detected in the transforming growth factor-β receptor type II gene (*TGFBR2*) (19, 65). Incidentally, *TGFBR2* maps to the same new locus identified as an alternative cause of MFS. Subsequently, a plethora of studies was established, investigating the role of TGFβ signaling in the pathogenesis of MFS (18, 20, 66). Using functional approaches, mutations were also detected in another receptor for
TGFβ, the TGFBR1 (20). Thus, the significance of TGFβ signaling pathway was confirmed in MFS and similar clinical phenotypes.

During tissue repair or remodeling, TGFβ signaling regulates ECM formation by binding of the TGFβ ligand to its receptors (TGFBR1/2/3) (67, 68). The failure of repair/remodeling processes was accompanied by alarmingly high plasma levels of TGFβ ligand. With the association of TGFBR1/2 being established with MFS, subsequent research was conducted to investigate the role of TGFBR3 genetic variation in MFS and related syndromes. TGFBR3 is the most abundantly expressed TGFβ receptor subtype and possesses high affinities toward all the TGFβ isoforms; recently, its association with MFS was investigated (69). Remarkably, in a cohort of 49 patients, fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for MFS and negative for mutation in FBN1, TGFBR1, or TGFBR2 coding regions, screening for mutations in TGFBR3 gene revealed no exonic or intronic TGFBR3 variation causing the disease (69). However, the role of TGFBR3 variants as a genetic modifier cannot be neglected and needs further investigation.

Furthermore, Smad2 plays an essential role in the TGFβ canonical signaling pathway contributing to the pathogenesis of MFS (Figure 3) (70, 71). By forming a complex with Smad4 and other proteins, Smad2 regulates transcription and induces MMP production (72). MMPs can then cleave the microfibrillar network, other components of the ECM, and latency-associated protein (LAP). Finally, in vivo experiments in mice have demonstrated the importance of targeting the TGFβ noncanonical signaling pathway as a means to alleviate clinical symptoms of MFS. Erk1/2 and p38-MAPK inhibition led to reduced MMP levels that play a pivotal role in progressive remodeling of arterial wall in MFS (Figure 3) (73-75). However, due to the conflicting data on involvement of these pathways in MFS, it is yet to be fully understood which pathway is more influential in the pathogenesis of MFS.

Management: diagnosis and treatment

MFS is a multiorgan disorder, primarily affecting the ocular, cardiovascular, and connective tissues in the body. Because of its wide range of clinical manifestations, management of this disease becomes challenging. An integrated care system comprising experts from all the relevant fields can effectively manage this deadly disease (76). Cardiovascular complications being the most life threatening, several researchers have focused on strategies to specifically alleviate cardiovascular abnormalities. Once diagnosed with cardiovascular abnormalities, echocardiographic imaging of the aorta at regular intervals is a crucial procedure to monitor the rate of aneurysm progression, aortic root dilatation, and other cardiac anomalies (77, 78). Generally, blood pressure control and limited physical activities are often suggested to MFS patients, depending on the severity of the disease (79, 80). Improvements in mitral valve prolapse, aortic dilatation, and aortic dissection can drastically increase the quality of life and life expectancy. Following are the current treatment strategies for MFS.

β-blockers

β-blockers are the drugs that bind to β-adrenoceptors, widely used against numerous cardiovascular complications. The use of prophylactic β-blockers (e.g., propranolol and atenolol) has been the preferred treatment option to relax the dilatation of aorta (77). These drugs have the capacity to lower the mean slope of regression line for the aortic root dimensions as compared to controls (11, 18). Subsequent follow-up results showing diminished progressive dilatation of the aorta have further confirmed the therapeutic benefit of β-blockers. Although β-blockers are the standard care for MFS, there are insufficient data demonstrating its therapeutic potential, especially in children (81). However, β-blockers in combination with calcium antagonist therapy have shown a significant inhibition of aortic growth rate in children and adults with MFS (82).

Angiotensin II receptor blockers and ACE inhibitors

Advancements in molecular research have revealed that aberrant TGFβ signaling and altered fibrillin-1 protein have a causative role in the pathogenesis of MFS. Hence, it was proposed that the use of TGFβ
Figure 3. Schematic representation of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) signaling pathway. Fibrillin-1 is an integral constituent of the microfibrils of the extracellular matrix in the connective tissue. TGFβ is secreted in the ECM containing latency-associated protein (LAP). This complex binds to the TGFβ-binding protein (LTPB), which adheres to the microfibrils. When the activated TGFβ ligand binds to one of its receptors (TGFBR1/2), it activates canonical (blue) or noncanonical (brown) signaling pathway in the cytoplasm. Particularly, SMAD-mediated canonical signaling and noncanonical signaling that involves p38, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2), and Jun N-terminal associated kinase (JNK1) are shown. Matrix metalloproteinases are directly upregulated by TGFβ signaling that further exacerbates the clinical phenotype in MFS by promoting aneurysms. The AT-1 receptor of angiotensin II is also depicted in the diagram that is a current therapeutic target. MMP, matrix metalloproteinases; TGFBR1-2, TGFβ-binding receptor 1-2; RAS, rat sarcoma; TAK1, TGF-β-activated kinase 1; TRAF6, TNF receptor-associated factor; MEK1, mitogen-activated protein kinase 1; AP-1, activator protein 1 is a transcription factor in the nucleus.

antagonists, including angiotensin II receptor blockers, might considerably alleviate some of the clinical manifestations of MFS, such as aortic root dilatation and aneurysm (1, 15). Administration of valsartan, an angiotensin II receptor blocker, and perindopril, an ACE inhibitor, has demonstrated significant reduction in TGFβ.
Limiting success of pharmacotherapies led to the evolution of newer surgical techniques for MFS care. Composite valve graft replacement is currently the leading treatment option for a wide range of aortic lesions that have high risk of aortic rupture (87, 88). Alternatively, the diameter of the aorta can also be reduced by a procedure called aortoplasty. Reduction aortoplasty has shown promising results in children with MFS who presented dilatation of the proximal ascending aorta and aortic annulus, and aortic insufficiency (89). In adult patients with MFS, Bentall procedure is still an obvious treatment choice (90). First described in 1968, Bentall and De Bono (91) procedure mainly involves composite graft replacement of the aortic valve, aortic root, and ascending aorta. The coronary arteries are then reimplanted into the composite graft, allowing to effectively treat aortic lesions associated with MFS. This cardiac surgery procedure has exhibited significant clinical benefit, low operative mortality, and long-term survival (survival rate of 80% after 5 years and 60% after 10 years). Recently, Yacoub or David II procedure has been introduced, where the Dacron graft is remodeled to reproduce the aortic sinuses. Patient’s aortic valve is reimplanted into the graft (David I procedure), minimizing the necessity for anticoagulant therapy and the use of antibiotics (53, 55). Overall, surgical interventions, such as aortic root replacement, mitral valve procedure, and valve-sparing procedures although invasive, have significantly low operative and postoperative mortality rates and significantly high survival rates (92-94).

Conclusions and future considerations

MFS is an uncommon hereditary disorder of the connective tissues, affecting several organ systems simultaneously. Current nosology-based diagnoses incorporate comprehensive assessment of several major and minor clinical manifestations. Nosologies not only incorporating the relevant clinical manifestations but also thoroughly considering other factors, such as age and sex, may evolve in the future to overcome the limitations of Ghent-2 nosology, thus providing a more reliable diagnosis of MFS. Although the origins of MFS have been attributed to mutations in the FBN1 gene or altered TGFβ signaling, elucidation of complete pathogenesis of MFS remains an area of future investigation. Complications of the cardiovascular system being the foremost life-threatening symptom, majority of research has focused on developing newer pharmacotherapies and improving surgical interventions. However, it is critical to evaluate the risks and benefits of contemporary and budding medications and surgery techniques. Cutting-edge molecular research techniques and computational biology may aid to identify novel molecular therapeutic targets, which can then be subsequently validated in animal models and clinical trials. Furthermore, delineating the pathophysiological mechanisms of MFS from other similar syndromes using these pioneering research techniques warrants further attention. Currently, genetic screening and cardiac imaging for diagnoses are complex due to the overlapping clinical...
symptoms of other FBN1-related disorders. Future work should emphasize more on targeted treatment, enhanced disease prediction (genetic aspects, prognosis biomarkers, newer imaging modalities, etc.), and perhaps prevention of MFS.
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